IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Robert G. Devore, Sr.,) C/A No. 4:04-1546-CMC-TER
Plaintiff,))
v.)
Jo Anne B. Barnhart,) OPINION AND ORDER
Commissioner of Social Security,)
Defendant.)
)

Plaintiff filed a complaint seeking judicial review of the final decision of the Commissioner denying his claim for disability insurance benefits and supplemental security income pursuant to Section 205(g) of the Social Security Act, as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 405(g). The matter is currently before the court for review of the Report and Recommendation of Magistrate Judge Thomas E. Rogers, III, made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 73.02(B)(2)(a) D.S.C.

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight, and the responsibility to make a final determination remains with the court. *Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261 (1976). The court is charged with making a *de novo* determination of any portion of the Report and Recommendation to which a specific objection is made, and the court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge, or recommit the matter to her with instructions. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). The court reviews only for clear error in the absence of an objection. *See Diamond v. Colonial Life & Accident Ins. Co.*, __F.3d __, 2005 WL 1713188, at *3 (4th Cir. 2005) (stating that "in the absence of a timely filed objection, a district court need not conduct a *de novo* review, but instead must

4:04-cv-01546-CMC Date Filed 09/09/05 Entry Number 12 Page 2 of 2

'only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the

recommendation." (quoting Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 advisory committee's note).

The United States Magistrate Judge has filed his Report and Recommendation, in which

he concludes that the Administrative Law Judge's findings are supported by substantial evidence

and recommends the decision of the Commissioner be affirmed. No objections have been filed

and the time for doing so has expired.

After reviewing the record, the applicable law, the briefs of counsel and the findings and

recommendations of the Magistrate Judge, the court finds no clear error and hereby adopts the

Report of the Magistrate Judge, which is incorporated into this order. For the reasons set out

therein, the final decision of the Commissioner is **AFFIRMED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED

s/Cameron McGowan Currie

CAMERON MCGOWAN CURRIE UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Columbia, South Carolina September 8, 2005

 $C:\Documents\ and\ Settings\ \ Local\ Settings\ \ Temp\ \ \ \ local\ Settings\ \ \ Temp\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ affirmed. wpd$

2